

Bi-invariant metric on contact diffeomorphisms group

N. K. Smolentsev

Abstract

We show the existence of a weak bi-invariant symmetric nondegenerate 2-form on the contact diffeomorphisms group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of a contact Riemannian manifold (M, g, θ) and study its properties. We describe the Euler's equation on a Lie algebra of group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and calculate the sectional curvature of $\mathcal{D}_\theta$. In a case $\dim M = 3$ connection between the bi-invariant metric on $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ and the bi-invariant metric on volume-preserving diffeomorphisms group $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ of M^3 is discover.

1 Contact transformations group and bi-invariant metric

Let M be a smooth (of class C^∞) compact orientable manifold of dimension $n = 2m + 1$ without boundary. The manifold M is said to be *contact* if a 1-form θ with the following property is given on it: the $(2m + 1)$ -form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^m$ does not vanish everywhere on M . Such a form θ is said to be *contact*. A vector field ξ is said to be *characteristic* if it has the properties

$$\theta(\xi) = 1, \quad d\theta(\xi, \cdot) = 0.$$

A *contact distribution* E on M is defined as the kernel of the form θ , $E = \text{Ker}\theta$. Clearly, $TM = E \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$. Let $\Gamma(TM)$ be the space of smooth vector fields on M and $\Gamma(E)$ be the space of smooth vector fields on M belonging to a distribution E .

A Riemannian structure g on M is said to be *associated* with θ if there exists a tensor field φ of type (1,1) on M such that for any vector fields X and Y on M ,

- (1) $g(X, \xi) = \theta(X)$;
- (2) $\varphi^2 = -I + \theta \otimes \xi$;
- (3) $d\theta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$,

where φ is considered as a morphism $\varphi : TM \rightarrow TM$ and I is the identity morphism.

Let's note some additional properties [7]. For any vector fields X and Y on M ,

- (4) $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$;
- (5) the distribution E is orthogonal to the field ξ ,
- (6) $\varphi(\xi) = 0$, $\varphi(E) = E$;
- (7) φ is skew-symmetric and $(\varphi|_E)^2 = -I_E$;
- (8) $d\theta(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = d\theta(X, Y)$;
- (9) $g(X, Y) = \theta(X)\theta(Y) + d\theta(\varphi X, Y)$.

A mapping $\eta : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be *contact* if it preserves the contact structure $\theta = 0$, i.e., if $\eta^*\theta = h\theta$, where h is a function of M . Although the algebra of infinitesimal contact transformations is usually defined as a subalgebra of the algebra of vector fields:

$$\Gamma_\theta(TM) = \{V \in \Gamma(TM); L_V\theta = f\theta, \text{ for a certain function } f\},$$

we define $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ as a subalgebra of $C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta = \{(f, V) \in C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM); f\theta + \text{div}_V\theta + i_V d\theta = 0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the Lie algebra structure on $C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$ is defined as follows:

$$[(f, U), (g, V)] = (U(g) - V(f), [U, V]). \quad (1.2)$$

It is easy to verify that $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ is indeed a subalgebra of $C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$.

Remark 1. The algebra $C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$ defined above is the Lie algebra of the semidirect product $C_*^\infty(M) * \mathcal{D}$ of the group $C_*^\infty(M)$ of positive smooth functions on M and the diffeomorphisms group $\mathcal{D}$. The group operation is given by

$$(a, \eta) * (b, \zeta) = (a(b \circ \eta), \eta \circ \zeta).$$

It is shown in [19] (Theorem 4.5.1) that $C_*^\infty(M) * \mathcal{D}$ is strongly an ILH-Lie group. The coordinate mapping can be given by

$$\psi : C^\infty(M) \oplus \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C_*^\infty(M) \oplus \mathcal{D}, \quad (f, V) \mapsto (e^f, E(V)), \quad (1.3)$$

where $V \mapsto E(V)$ is the coordinate mapping on the diffeomorphisms group defined in [9].

There exists a one-to-one linear correspondence between $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ and the function space $C^\infty(M)$. Indeed, let $(f, U) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$; then $L_U \theta + f \theta = 0$. Decompose the field U in accordance with the decomposition $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus E$: $U = h\xi + v$. Then

$$L_U \theta + f \theta = dh + i_v d\theta + f \theta = 0.$$

Hence $\text{grad} h - \varphi(v) + f\xi = 0$. We obtain from the latter relation that

$$f = -\xi(h), \quad \varphi(\text{grad} h) + v = 0, \quad v = -\varphi(\text{grad} h).$$

Therefore,

$$(f, U) = (-\xi(h), h\xi - \varphi(\text{grad} h)). \quad (1.4)$$

The latter formula defines the correspondence of $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ with the function space $C^\infty(M)$: $(f, U) \leftrightarrow h = \theta(U)$.

Theorem 1.1 (see [19], Theorem 8.3.6). *The group*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\theta = \{(f, \eta) \in C_*^\infty(M) * \mathcal{D}; f\eta^* \theta = \theta\}$$

is a strong, closed ILH-subgroup of the group $C_*^\infty(M) * \mathcal{D}$ with the Lie algebra $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$.

A contact form θ is said to be *regular* [7] if the vector field ξ induces a free action of the unit circle S^1 on the manifold M . In this case, the quotient manifold $N = M/S^1$ is defined; the 2-form $d\theta$ is lowered to N and defines a symplectic structure ω on N . If $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is the natural projection, then $\pi^* \omega = d\theta$.

On M we fix the *associated metric* g and the *affinor* φ corresponding to it. Assume that the contact structure θ on M is regular.

The transformation $\eta : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be *exactly contact*, or it is called *quantomorphism* if it preserves the contact form θ : $\eta^* \theta = \theta$. Let $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ be the connected component of the group of all exact contact transformations of the manifold M :

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta = \{\eta \in \mathcal{D}_0, \quad \eta^* \theta = \theta\}.$$

For any $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ we have:

$$Ad_\eta \xi = \xi.$$

Omori showed in [19] in the case of a regular contact manifold (M, θ) that the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is an ILH-Lie group. In proving this, he used the fact that the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ consists of diffeomorphisms commuting with the free action of the compact group S^1 on M .

Theorem 1.2 (see [19]). *The group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is a strong ILH-subgroup of the group $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_\theta$. The Lie algebra of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ consists of contact vector fields X on M , i.e., those fields for which $L_X\theta = 0$.*

Let $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta = \{X \in \Gamma(TM); L_X\theta = 0\}$ be the Lie algebra of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$. If $X \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is a contact vector field, then the function $f = \theta(X)$ is called the *contact Hamiltonian* of the field X , and the field X itself is usually denoted by X_f . The condition $L_X\theta = 0$ immediately implies $i_X d\theta = -df$. Therefore, the function f is constant on the trajectories of the characteristic vector field ξ . Using the latter relation, it is easy to show that the contact vector field X_f has the form

$$X_f = f\xi - \varphi \operatorname{grad} f. \quad (1.5)$$

Let us define the *Lagrange bracket* $[f, g]$ of contact Hamiltonians f and g by

$$[f, g] = X_f(g). \quad (1.6)$$

Then the following relation holds for the Lie bracket of contact vector fields and the Lagrange bracket:

$$[X_f, X_g] = X_{[f, g]}. \quad (1.7)$$

The group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ has the following natural right-invariant weak Riemannian structure: if $X, Y \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$, then

$$(X, Y)_e = \int_M g(X(x), Y(x)) d\mu(x), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\mu = \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ and at integration the form μ we denote as $d\mu$.

Introduce one more inner product on $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$:

$$\langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e = \int_M fh \, d\mu. \quad (1.9)$$

The relation between the natural weak Riemannian structure (1.8) and (1.9) is expressed by the formula

$$(X_f, X_h)_e = \langle X_{f+\Delta f}, X_h \rangle_e, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\Delta = -\operatorname{div} \circ \operatorname{grad}$ is the Laplacian. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X_f, X_h)_e &= \int_M g(X_f, X_h) d\mu = \int_M g(f\xi - \varphi \operatorname{grad} f, h\xi - \varphi \operatorname{grad} h) d\mu = \\ &= \int_M fh \, d\mu + \int_M g(\varphi \operatorname{grad} f, \varphi \operatorname{grad} h) d\mu = \int_M fh \, d\mu + \int_M g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} h) d\mu = \\ &= \int_M fh \, d\mu + \int_M -\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f) h \, d\mu = \int_M (f + \Delta f) h \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3. *The inner product (1.9) on the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ defines a bi-invariant weak Riemannian structure on the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that it is invariant under the adjoint action of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ on $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$. Indeed, if $X_f = f\xi - \varphi \operatorname{grad} f$, then

$$\operatorname{Ad}_\eta X_f = (f \circ \eta^{-1})\xi - \operatorname{Ad}_\eta(\varphi \operatorname{grad} f) = X_{f \circ \eta^{-1}}. \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, $\eta^*(\mu) = \eta^*(\theta \wedge (d\theta)^m) = \theta \wedge (d\theta)^m = \mu$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \operatorname{Ad}_\eta(X_f), \operatorname{Ad}_\eta(X_g) \rangle_e &= \langle X_{f \circ \eta^{-1}}, X_{g \circ \eta^{-1}} \rangle_e = \int_M (f \circ \eta^{-1})(g \circ \eta^{-1}) \, d\mu = \\ &= \int_M f \cdot g \, \eta^*(d\mu) = \int_M f \cdot g \, d\mu = \langle X_f, X_g \rangle_e. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (1.9) defines a bi-invariant weak Riemannian structure on the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$. $\square$

2 Euler equation on the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple, finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and let H be a certain function on $\mathfrak{g}$. In [16], it was shown that the extension of the Euler equation on the Lie algebra of the group $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ of motions of an n -dimensional rigid body to the case of the general semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an equation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = [X, \text{grad}H(X)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the gradient of the Hamiltonian function H is calculated with respect to the invariant Killing–Cartan inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$.

As $\mathfrak{g}$, let us consider the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of contact vector fields on the regular contact Riemannian manifold M . On $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$, we have the invariant nondegenerate form (1.9) and the function (kinetic energy)

$$T(X_f) = \frac{1}{2}(X_f, X_f)_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_M g(X_f, X_f) d\mu, \quad X_f \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta.$$

The function T can be written as follows in terms of the inner product (1.9):

$$T(X_f) = \frac{1}{2}(X_f, X_f)_e = \frac{1}{2} \langle X_{f+\Delta f}, X_f \rangle_e.$$

Perform the Legendre transform $Y_h = X_{f+\Delta f}$; then

$$T(X_f) = T(Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y_h, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h} \rangle_e.$$

Consider the Hamiltonian function $H(Y_h) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y_h, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h} \rangle_e$ on the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$. The gradient of the function H with respect to the invariant inner product (1.9) is easily calculated:

$$\text{grad}H(Y_h) = Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}.$$

As in the finite-dimensional case, let us write the Euler equation on the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y_h = [Y_h, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}] = Y_{[h, (1+\Delta)^{-1}h]}. \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}h = [h, (1+\Delta)^{-1}h]. \quad (2.3)$$

Since $h = f + \Delta f$ and $[f, f] = 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial(f + \Delta f)}{\partial t} = [\Delta f, f], \quad (2.4)$$

On the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$, Eq. (2.2) or (2.4) has the following two quadratic first integrals:

$$m(Y_h) = \langle Y_h, Y_h \rangle_e = (X_{(1+\Delta)f}, X_f)_e = \int_M g(X_{(1+\Delta)f}, X_f) d\mu, \quad (2.5)$$

$$H(Y_g) = T(X_f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M g(X_f, X_f) d\mu, \quad (2.6)$$

where $Y_h = X_{(1+\Delta)f}$. The first of them $m(Y_h)$ is naturally called the *kinetic moment*. The invariance of the function m follows from the invariance of the inner product (1.9) on $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$. The second integral $H(Y_h)$ is the *kinetic energy*.

Since $H(X_f) = \frac{1}{2} \langle X_f, X_{(1+\Delta)f} \rangle_e$, the operator $1 + \Delta : T_e \mathcal{D}\theta \rightarrow T_e \mathcal{D}\theta$ is the inertia operator of our mechanical system $(T_e \mathcal{D}\theta, H)$. The eigenvectors V_i of the operator $1 + \Delta$ are naturally called (analogously to the rigid body motion) the *axes of inertia*, and the eigenvalues λ_i of $1 + \Delta$ are called the *moments of inertia* with respect to the axes V_i .

The Euler equation (2.4) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial X_{(1+\Delta)f}}{\partial t} = -L_{X_f} X_{(1+\Delta)f},$$

where L_{X_f} is the Lie derivative. Therefore [15], the vector field $X_{(1+\Delta)f}(t)$ is transported by the flow η_t of the field X_f :

$$X_{(1+\Delta)f}(t) = d\eta_t(X_{(1+\Delta)f}(0) \circ \eta_t^{-1}) = Ad_{\eta_t}(X_{(1+\Delta)f_0}) = X_{((1+\Delta)f_0) \circ \eta_t^{-1}},$$

where $X_f(0) = X_{f_0}$ is the initial velocity field. Hamiltonian function $(1 + \Delta)f(t, x)$ is transported by the flow η_t :

$$(1 + \Delta)f(t, x) = ((1 + \Delta)f_0)(\eta_t^{-1}(x)).$$

This immediately implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f = f(t, x)$ be a solution of the Euler equation (2.4), and let η_t be the flow on M generated by the vector field X_f . Then the following quantities are independent of time t :*

$$T = \frac{1}{2} (X_f, X_f)_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_M f(1 + \Delta)f \, d\mu, \quad (2.7)$$

$$I_k = \int_M ((1 + \Delta)f)^k \, d\mu. \quad (2.8)$$

The curve $Y_h(t) = X_{(1+\Delta)f}(t) = Ad_{\eta_t}(X_{(1+\Delta)f_0}) = Ad_{\eta_t}(Y_{h_0})$ on the Lie algebra $T_e \mathcal{D}\theta$ that is a solution of the Euler equation (2.2) lies on the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0}) = \{Ad_\eta Y_{h_0}; \eta \in \mathcal{D}\theta\}$ of the coadjoint action of the group $\mathcal{D}\theta$.

Since the kinetic moment $m(X)$ is preserved under the motion, the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$ lies on the "pseudo-sphere"

$$S = \{Y_h \in T_e \mathcal{D}\theta; \langle Y_h, Y_h \rangle_e = r\},$$

where $r = \langle Y_{h_0}, Y_{h_0} \rangle_e$. It is natural to expect that the critical points of the function $H(Y_h)$ on the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$ are stationary motions. The orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_h(0))$ is the image of the smooth mapping

$$\mathcal{D}\theta \rightarrow T_e \mathcal{D}\theta, \quad \eta \rightarrow Ad_\eta Y_{h_0}.$$

Therefore, the tangent space $T_Y \mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$ to the orbit at a point Y is

$$T_Y \mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0}) = \{[W, Y]; W \in T_e \mathcal{D}\theta\}.$$

A point $Y \in \mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$ is critical for the function $H(Y_h)$ if $\text{grad} H(Y_h)$ is orthogonal to the space $T_Y \mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$. Since $\text{grad} H(Y_h) = Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}$, the latter condition is equivalent to

$$\langle Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}, [W, Y] \rangle_e = 0 \quad \text{for any } W \in T_e \mathcal{D}\theta(M).$$

The invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e$ implies

$$\langle [Y, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}], W \rangle_e = 0 \quad \text{for any } W \in T_e \mathcal{D}\theta(M).$$

From the nondegeneracy of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e$ on $T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta(M)$, we obtain that a point Y on the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_h(0))$ is a critical point of the function H iff

$$[Y, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}] = 0.$$

It follows from the Euler equation $\frac{d}{dt}Y_h = [Y_h, Y_{(1+\Delta)^{-1}h}]$ that a field Y_h is an equilibrium state of our system (i.e., a stationary motion) iff Y_h is a critical point of the kinetic energy $H(Y_h)$ on the orbit $\mathcal{O}(Y_{h_0})$.

3 Curvature of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$

The sectional curvature of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ with respect to the bi-invariant metric (1.9) in the direction to a 2-plane σ given by an orthonormal pair of contact vector fields $X_f, X_h \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ is expressed by the formula

$$K_\sigma = \frac{1}{4} \int_M [f, h]^2 d\mu.$$

Thus, the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is of nonnegative sectional curvature, and $K_\sigma = 0$ iff the contact Hamiltonians f and h commutes, $[f, h] = 0$.

The sectional curvature of the natural right-invariant Riemannian structure (1.8) in the direction to a 2-plane σ given by an orthonormal pair of contact vector fields $X, Y \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ can be found by the general formula [23]

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma = & -\frac{3}{4}([X, Y], [X, Y])_e - \frac{1}{2}([X, [X, Y]], Y)_e - \frac{1}{2}([Y, [Y, X]], X)_e - \\ & - (P_e(\nabla_X X), P_e(\nabla_Y Y)) + \frac{1}{4} (P_e(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X), P_e(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the operator P_e must be considered as the orthogonal projection of the space $\Gamma(TM)$ on the space $T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ of contact vector fields on M in accordance with the orthogonal decomposition $\Gamma(TM) = T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta \oplus (T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta)^\perp$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any contact vector fields $X = X_f$ and $Y = X_h$ on M , the contact Hamiltonian s of the vector field $P_e(\nabla_X Y) = X_s$ is expressed through f and h in the following way:*

$$Ds = \frac{1}{2} (D[f, h] + [f, Dh] + [h, Df]), \quad (3.2)$$

where $D = 1 + \Delta$, Δ is the Laplacian, and $[f, g]$ is the Lagrange bracket.

Proof. It was demonstrated in [9] that, given the weak right-invariant Riemannian structure (1.8) on $\mathcal{D}_\theta$, there exists a Riemannian connection whose covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}$ at the identity $e \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ is given by the formula

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)_e = P_e(\nabla_{X_e} Y_e),$$

where ∇ is the covariant derivative of the Riemannian connection on M and $X(\eta) = X_e \circ \eta$, $Y(\eta) = Y_e \circ \eta$ are right-invariant vector fields on $\mathcal{D}_\theta$, $X_e, Y_e \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$. For determining $P_e(\nabla_{X_e} Y_e) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)_e$, we use the six-term formula

$$2(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z)_e = X(Y, Z) + Y(Z, X) - Z(X, Y) + (Z, [X, Y])_e + (Y, [Z, X])_e - (X, [Y, Z])_e,$$

where $X = X_f$, $Y = X_h$ and $Z = X_g$ are regarded as right-invariant vector fields on $\mathcal{D}_\theta$. Taking the right invariance of the weak Riemannian structure (1.8) into account, we obtain $X(Y, Z) = Y(Z, X) = Z(X, Y) = 0$. Using the bi-invariant scalar product (1.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z)_e &= (X_g, [X_f, X_h])_e + (X_h, [X_g, X_f])_e - (X_f, [X_h, X_g])_e = \\ &= (X_g, X_{[f, h]})_e + (X_h, X_{[g, f]})_e - (X_f, X_{[h, g]})_e = \langle X_g, X_{D[f, h]} \rangle_e + \langle X_{Dh}, X_{[g, f]} \rangle_e - \langle X_{Df}, X_{[h, g]} \rangle_e = \\ &= \langle X_g, X_{D[f, h]} \rangle_e + \langle [X_f, X_{Dh}], X_g \rangle_e + \langle [X_h, X_{Df}], X_g \rangle_e = \\ &= \langle X_{D[f, h]} + X_{[f, Dh]} + X_{[h, Df]}, X_g \rangle_e. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$2(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z)_e = 2(P_e(\nabla_X Y), Z)_e = 2(X_s, X_g)_e = 2\langle X_{Ds}, X_g \rangle_e.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X = X_f$ and $Y = X_h$. If $X_s = P_e(\nabla_X X)$ and $X_q = P_e(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)$, then*

$$(1 + \Delta)s = [f, \Delta f], \quad (1 + \Delta)q = [f, \Delta h] - [\Delta f, h]. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 immediately implies the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The sectional curvature of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ with respect to metric (1.8) in the direction of a 2-plane $\sigma \subset T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ composed of an orthonormal pair of contact vector fields $X_f, X_h \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ is expressed by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \int_M [f, h]^2 d\mu - \frac{3}{4} \int_M [f, h] \Delta[f, h] d\mu + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_M [f, h] ([\Delta f, h] + [f, \Delta h]) d\mu - \int_M [f, \Delta f] D^{-1}([h, \Delta h]) d\mu + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_M ([f, \Delta h] - [\Delta f, h]) D^{-1}([f, \Delta h] - [\Delta f, h]) d\mu, \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

where $D = 1 + \Delta$, $\Delta = -\text{div} \circ \text{grad}$ is the Laplacian, and $[f, h]$ is the Lagrange bracket of functions on a contact manifold.

In the case where, as the contact Hamiltonians f and h , we choose eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator, $\Delta f = \alpha f$ and $\Delta h = \beta h$, formula (3.4) is considerably simpler:

$$K_\sigma = -\frac{3}{4} \int_M [f, h] \Delta[f, g] d\mu + \frac{1 + 2(\alpha + \beta)}{4} \int_M [f, h]^2 d\mu + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{4} \int_M [f, h] D^{-1}([f, h]) d\mu. \quad (3.5)$$

If the structural constants defined from

$$[f, h] = c_{fh}^i f_i,$$

where f_i is the orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator with eigenvalues α_i , of the Lie algebra $T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta$ of contact vector fields are known, then the formula for the sectional curvatures becomes

$$K_\sigma = -\frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)} \left(-\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i>0} \alpha_i (c_{fh}^i)^2 + \frac{1 + 2(\alpha + \beta)}{4} \sum_{i>0} (c_{fh}^i)^2 + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{4} \sum_{i>0} \frac{(c_{fh}^i)^2}{1 + \alpha_i} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

here, it is assumed that the L^2 -norms of the functions f and h are equal to 1.

Let us show that the calculation of the sectional curvatures of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ by formula (3.6) reduces to the search for the structural constants of the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on the symplectic manifold $N = M/S^1$. Recall that the symplectic structure on N is defined by the 2-form ω uniquely found from the relation $\pi^*\omega = d\theta$, where π is the projection of M on $N = M/S^1$.

An exact contact transformation $\eta : M \rightarrow M$ preserves the differential forms θ and $d\theta$. Therefore, the diffeomorphism η preserves the characteristic vector field ξ and commutes with the action of S^1 on M . This implies that the contact diffeomorphism η defines a diffeomorphism $\bar{\eta}$ of the manifold N such that $\pi \circ \eta = \bar{\eta} \circ \pi$. From the relation $\eta^*d\theta = d\theta$, we obtain $\bar{\eta}^*\omega = \omega$, i.e., $\bar{\eta}$ is a symplectic diffeomorphism of the manifold N . Therefore, we have obtained the homomorphism

$$p : \mathcal{D}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega(N), \quad p(\eta) = \bar{\eta}.$$

The image $p(\mathcal{D}_{\theta,0})$ of the connected component $\mathcal{D}_{\theta,0}$ of the identity is (see [19]) a closed ILH-Lie subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of exact symplectic transformations. Recall that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{H} = T_e\mathcal{G}$ of this group consists of globally Hamiltonian vector fields on N .

The sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\theta,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1, \quad (3.7)$$

is exact. In the corresponding exact sequence of algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.8)$$

the kernel of the differential dp is the one-dimensional space of vector fields proportional to the field ξ : $\text{Ker} dp = \mathbb{R}\xi$.

In $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$, let us find the orthogonal complement to the kernel of the differential dp with respect to the inner product (1.8). The contact Hamiltonian of the characteristic vector field ξ is identically equal to 1. Let X_f be any other contact vector field; then

$$(\xi, X_f) = \int_M (1 + \Delta(1))f \, d\mu = \int_M f \, d\mu = 0.$$

Thus, the contact vector field X_f lies in the orthogonal complement to the vector field ξ iff

$$\int_M f \, d\mu = 0.$$

As we already noted, a contact vector field on M has the form

$$X_f = f\xi - \varphi \, \text{grad} f,$$

where f is a smooth function on M that is constant on the trajectories of the vector field ξ . Therefore, it defines the function F on N by $f = F \circ \pi$. Under the projection $\pi : M \rightarrow N$, the contact vector field X_f is mapped into the Hamiltonian vector field X_F , $X_F = d\pi(X_f)$. In this case, the Lie bracket $[X_f, X_h]$ passes to the Lie bracket $[X_F, X_H]$ of the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields X_F, X_H on N [15]. Therefore, the Poisson bracket $\{F, H\}$ on N corresponds to the Lagrange bracket $[f, h]$: $[f, h] = \{F, H\} \circ \pi$.

Note that if X_f lies in the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{R}\xi$ in $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$, then the Hamiltonian F on N corresponding to f has the property $\int_M F \, d\mu = 0$.

It follows from the above arguments that the structural constants c_{fh}^i of the Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$,

$$[f, h] = c_{fh}^i f_i, \quad X_f, X_h \in (\mathbb{R}\xi)^\perp,$$

coincide with the structural constants C_{FH}^i of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\{F, H\} = C_{FH}^i F_i.$$

Conclusion. *The structural constants C_{FH}^i of the algebra H of Hamiltonian vector fields on N can be used in formula (3.6) instead of the structural constants c_{fh}^i of the Lie algebra of contact vector fields on M for calculating the sectional curvatures of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ in directions σ orthogonal to the field ξ .*

Remark 2. Since the contact vector fields X_f commute with the characteristic vector field ξ , i.e., $[\xi, X_f] = 0$, it follows that the other structural constants of $T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of the form $c_{\xi h}^i$ vanish. Therefore, we have the following conclusion:

the sectional curvature of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ in directions σ containing the characteristic vector field ξ vanishes.

Remark 3. Assume that the associated metric g on M is K -contact. This means that the metric tensor g is invariant with respect to the action of S^1 on M , $L_\xi g = 0$ [7]. Then on $N = M/S^1$, we can define the Riemannian structure $\bar{g}$ with respect to which the projection $\pi : M \rightarrow N = M/S^1$ is a Riemannian submersion. If the contact Hamiltonian f on M is an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator, $\Delta f = \lambda f$, then the corresponding Hamiltonian F on N is also an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on N , $\Delta F = \lambda F$. In the general case, this is not true. Therefore, the use of the structural constants C_{FH}^i of the algebra $\mathcal{H}(N)$ for calculating the sectional curvatures of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ can be especially effectively used in the case of the K -contact structure. Therefore, we can calculate the sectional curvatures of the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of contact transformations of the three-dimensional unit sphere S^3 in the basis corresponding to the Legendre functions $Y_{lm}(z, \varphi)$ on the sphere S^2 . The corresponding bundle $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is the Hopf bundle in this case.

4 Case of a three-dimensional manifold M^3

Let M be a three-dimensional contact manifold. In this case, the contact metric structure on M also has the following properties in addition to properties (1)–(9) given in the beginning of this paper:

- (10) $\theta \wedge d\theta = \mu$ is the Riemannian volume element on M ;
- (11) $d\theta(\varphi X, X) = 1$ for any unit vector field X belonging to the distribution E ;
- (12) the triple of vectors $(\varphi X, X, \xi)$, $X \in E_x$ composes a positively oriented basis of the space $T_x M$;
- (13) $\varphi X = X \times \xi$, where $\times$ is the vector product on the three-dimensional Riemannian manifold M ;
- (14) $*\theta = d\theta$, $*d\theta = \theta$, where $*$ is the Hodge operator.

The exact contact transformation η of the manifold M preserves the forms θ and $d\theta$ and hence preserves the volume element $\mu = \theta \wedge d\theta$. Therefore, the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ of exact contact diffeomorphisms is a subgroup of the group $\mathcal{D}_\mu(M^3)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving the volume element μ .

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$ be the group of exact diffeomorphisms preserving the volume element μ . The Lie algebra $T_e\mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$ consists of vector fields X of a type $X = \text{rot}Y$. We will prove

that if the contact structure (M^3, θ, ξ, g) is K -contact, then the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is a subgroup in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The characteristic vector field ξ is an eigenvector of the operator rot ,*

$$\text{rot } \xi = \xi. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Indeed, let $\omega_X = g^{-1}X$ be the differential 1-form corresponding to the vector field X on M by the metric tensor g . Then $\text{rot}X$ is found from the relation $\omega_{\text{rot}X} = *d\omega_X$, where $*$ is the Hodge operator. For the characteristic vector field, we have $\omega_\xi = \theta$. Therefore,

$$\omega_{\text{rot}\xi} = *d\omega_\xi = *d\theta = \theta = \omega_\xi.$$

□

For the K -contact structure, the metric tensor g and the affiner φ are invariant with respect to the action of the group S^1 generated by the field ξ : $L_\xi g = 0$, $L_\xi \varphi = 0$, on M . As is known, the contact vector fields commute with the field ξ , $[\xi, X_f] = 0$. Taking into account that $X_f = f\xi - \varphi \text{grad } f$ and $\xi(f) = 0$, we immediately obtain from the latter relation that $[\xi, \varphi \text{grad } f] = 0$. In the case of the K -contact structure, it is easy to show that the gradients of the contact Hamiltonians f also commute with ξ :

$$[\xi, \text{grad } f] = 0.$$

Indeed, since $\text{grad } f \in \Gamma(E)$, it follows: $[\xi, \text{grad } f] = [\xi, -\varphi^2 \text{grad } f] = -L_\xi \varphi(\varphi \text{grad } f) - \varphi(L_\xi(\varphi \text{grad } f)) = -\varphi(L_\xi(\varphi \text{grad } f)) = -\varphi[\xi, \varphi \text{grad } f] = 0$.

In what follows, we assume that the contact metric structure (M^3, θ, ξ, g) is K -contact.

Lemma 4.2. *If $X_f = f\xi - \varphi \text{grad } f$ is a contact vector field on M^3 , then*

$$\text{rot}X_f = (f - \Delta f)\xi + \varphi \text{grad } f, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\Delta = -\text{div} \circ \text{grad}$ is the Laplacian. In particular,

$$\text{rot}f\xi = f\xi + \varphi \text{grad } f, \quad \text{rot}(\varphi \text{grad } f) = \Delta f \xi.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rot}X_f &= \text{rot}(f\xi + \xi \times \text{grad } f) = \text{grad } f \times \xi + f \text{rot}\xi + [\text{grad } f, \xi] + \xi \text{div}(\text{grad } f) - \text{grad } f \text{div}\xi = \\ &= \varphi \text{grad } f + f\xi + (-\Delta f)\xi = (f - \Delta f)\xi + \varphi \text{grad } f. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the relations $\varphi X = X \times \xi$, $\text{div } \xi = 0$, $[\xi, \text{grad } f] = 0$ and $\text{rot}(X \times Y) = [Y, X] + X \text{div}Y - Y \text{div}X$. □

In the case of the K -contact metric, the operator rot^{-1} can be explicitly calculated on the contact vector fields.

Lemma 4.3. *If the contact vector field $X_f = f\xi - \varphi \text{grad } f \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$ lies in the orthogonal complement to the vector field ξ with respect to the inner product (1.8), that is $\int_M f d\mu_g = 0$, then*

$$\text{rot}^{-1}X_f = -f\xi + 2\varphi \text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f), \quad (4.3)$$

where $h = \Delta^{-1}f$ there is a function, such that $\Delta h = f$, $\xi(h) = 0$ and $\int_M h d\mu_g = 0$.

Proof. A direct verification of the relation $\text{rot}(\text{rot}^{-1}X_f) = X_f$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rot}(\text{rot}^{-1}X_f) &= \text{rot}(-f\xi + 2\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f) \times \xi) = \\ &= -\text{grad}f \times \xi - f\text{rot}\xi + 2[\xi, \text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f)] + 2\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f)\text{div}\xi - 2\xi\text{div}(\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f)) = \\ &= -\varphi\text{grad}f - f\xi + 2\xi(\Delta)(\Delta^{-1}f) = -\varphi\text{grad}f - f\xi + 2\xi f = f\xi - \varphi\text{grad}f = X_f. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the relations: $\varphi X = X \times \xi$, $\text{div}\xi = 0$, $[\xi, \text{grad}f] = 0$ and $\text{rot}(X \times Y) = [Y, X] + X\text{div}Y - Y\text{div}X$. Note that the vector field $-f\xi + 2\varphi\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f)$ is divergence-free. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *If the contact vector field $X_f = f\xi - \varphi\text{grad}f \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$ lies in the orthogonal complement to the vector field ξ , that is $\int_M f d\mu_g = 0$, then*

$$X_f = \text{rot}(-f\xi + 2\varphi\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f)). \quad (4.4)$$

From the lemma 4.1 and corollary 4.4 follows:

Corollary 4.5. *If the contact structure (M^3, θ, ξ, g) is K -contact, then the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ is a subgroup in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$.*

On the group $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$ of exact diffeomorphisms preserving the volume element μ there exists the bi-invariant weak Riemannian structure [21]:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \int_M g(\text{rot}^{-1}X, Y) d\mu, \quad X, Y \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\mu(M^3). \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore the group $\mathcal{D}_\theta \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mu\partial}(M^3)$ inherits one more bi-invariant form

$$\langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \int_M g(\text{rot}^{-1}X_f, X_h) d\mu(x). \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.6. *If the contact metric structure on M^3 is K -contact, then the bi-invariant inner products (4.6) and (1.9) on $\mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$ are connected by following relations:*

If contact vector fields $X_f, X_h \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$ lies in the orthogonal complement to the vector field ξ with respect to the inner product (1.8), that is $\int_M f d\mu_g = \int_M h d\mu_g = 0$, then

$$\langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = -3 \langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\theta}. \quad (4.7)$$

If $X_f = \xi$ then for all $X_h \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$,

$$\langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\theta}. \quad (4.8)$$

In particular,

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_e^{D_\theta} = \int_M d\mu,$$

and if $\int_M h d\mu_g = 0$, then $\langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\theta} = 0$.

Proof. Indeed, if the contact vector fields $X_f, X_h \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\theta(M^3)$ lies in the orthogonal complement to the vector field ξ with respect to the inner product (1.8), then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} &= (\text{rot}^{-1}X_f, X_h)_e = (-f\xi + 2\varphi\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f), h\xi - \varphi\text{grad}h)_e = \\ &= -(f\xi, h\xi)_e - 2(\varphi\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f), \varphi\text{grad}h)_e = -\int_M fh d\mu - 2\int_M g(\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f), \text{grad}h) d\mu = \\ &= -\int_M fh d\mu + 2\int_M \text{div}(\text{grad}(\Delta^{-1}f))h d\mu = -\int_M fh d\mu - 2\int_M fh d\mu = -3\langle X_f, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

If $X_f = \xi$, then

$$\langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = (\text{rot}^{-1} \xi, X_h)_e = (\xi, h \xi - \varphi \text{grad } h)_e = \int_M h \, d\mu = \langle \xi, X_h \rangle_e^{D_\theta}.$$

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_e^{D_\mu} = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_e^{D_\theta} = \int_M d\mu.$$

□

References

- [1] *Arnold V.* Sur la geometrie differentielle des groupes de Lie de dimenzion infinie et ses applications a l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. Ann. Institut Fourier. 1966, Vol. 16, No. 1, P. 319-361.
- [2] *Arnold V. I.* Variational principle for three-dimensional stationary flows of the ideal fluid. Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 1965, Vol. 29, No. 5, P. 846-851.
- [3] *Arnold V.I.* Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. Springer. 1989.
- [4] *Arnold V.I. and Khesin B.* Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics. Springer Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [5] *Atiyah M.F., Patodi V.K., Singer I.M.* Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry. I. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1975, Vol. 77, P. 43-69.
- [6] *Atiyah M.F., Patodi V.K., Singer I.M.* Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry. II. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1975, Vol. 78, 405-432.
- [7] *Blair D.E.* Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 203. Birkhauser, 2010.
- [8] *Ebin D., Marsden J.* Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid. Ann. of Math. 1970, Vol. 92, No. 1, P. 102-163.
- [9] *Ebin D.G., Preston S. C.* Riemannian geometry on the quantomorphism group. arXiv:1302.5075 [math.DG], 36 p.
- [10] *Etnyre J., Ghrist R.* Contact Topology and Hydrodynamics. arXiv:dg-ga/9708011, 155 p.
- [11] *Etnyre J., Ghrist R.* Contact topology and hydrodynamics II: solid tori. arXiv:math/9907112 [math.SG], 14 p.
- [12] *Etnyre J., Ghrist R.* Contact topology and hydrodynamics III: knotted flowlines. arXiv:math-ph/9906021, 17 p.
- [13] *Etnyre J., Ghrist R.* An index for closed orbits in Beltrami fields. arXiv:math/0101095 [math.DS], 14 p.
- [14] *Gromov M.* Partial Differential Relations. Springer, 1986.
- [15] *Kobayashi S. and Nomizu K.* Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. 1, 2. Interscience Publ. 1963.

- [16] *Mishchenko A.S. and Fomenko A.T.* Euler equations on finite-dimensional Lie groups. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 1978, Vol. 42, No. 2, 396–415.
- [17] *Omori H.* On the group of diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc. 1970. P. 167-183.
- [18] *Omori H.* On smooth extension theorems. J. Math. Soc. Japan. 1972, Vol. 24, No. 3, P. 405-432.
- [19] *Omori H.* Infinite dimensional Lie transformations groups. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 427, 1974.
- [20] *Smolentsev N. K.* On a certain weak Riemannian structure on the diffeomorphism group. Izv. Vyssh. Ucheb. Zaved., Ser. Mat. 1979, Vol. 5, 78–80.
- [21] *Smolentsev N. K.* Bi-invariant metric on the diffeomorphism group of a three-dimensional manifold. Sib. Mat. Zh. 1983, Vol. 24, No. 1, 152-159. (arXiv:1401.7415v1 [math.DG], 2014).
- [22] *Smolentsev N. K.* Bi-invariant metrics on the symplectic diffeomorphism group and the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta F = \{\Delta F, F\}$. Sib. Mat. Zh. 1986, Vol. 27, No. 1, 150–156.
- [23] *Smolentsev N. K.* Curvature of the classical diffeomorphism groups. Sib. Mat. Zh. 1994, Vol. 35, No. 1, 169–176.
- [24] *Serrin J.* Mathematical Principles of Classical Fluid Mechanics. Springer, 1959.